7061

A SURVEY OF THE AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATES OF THE BUCKINGHAM STREAM, NORFOLK

A REPORT TO ALCONBURY ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS

POND ACTION

MARCH 1991

c/o Biological and Molecular Sciences Oxford Polytechnic Headington Oxford OX3 OBP

	CONTENTS		Pf
	SUMMARY		2
1.	INTRODUCTION		3
2.	METHODS		4
3.	THE AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATE COMMMUNITIES OF THE STREAM		8
3.1	Section 1: detailed description	8	
	Physical description Macroinvertebrate community		
3.2	Section 2:detailed description		8
	Physical description Macroinvertebrate community		
3.3	Section 3: detailed description		
	Physical description Macroinvertebrate community		
4.	REFERENCES		10
5.	APPENDIX		
	List of macroinvertebrates recorded in the sections of the stream		12
TABL	ES		
TABLI	E 1. Groups of macroinvertebrates recorded during the survey		6
TABLI	E 2. System used for assessing the nature conservation value of aquatic macro-invertebrate communities		7

PAGE

SUMMARY

This report describes the results of surveys of three sections of the Buckingham stream, Norfolk.

In this survey the stream is shown to support a community which is fairly rich in macroinvertebrates (61 species) including 1 widespread but local species. The BMWP scores for the sections of the stream (183, 186 and 149 for sections 1,2,3 respectively) suggest that the stream is not organically enriched. The three sections of the stream had very similar macroinvertebrate communities.

With a relatively high number of species including one widespread but local species, the macroinvertebrate community of the stream should be regarded as being of moderate value to nature conservation.

1. INTRODUCTION

This report describes the results of surveys of the aquatic macroinvertebrates of three sections of the ???????, Norfolk.

Section	1	ΤM	025927
Section	2	TM	027923
Section	3	TM	023917

The survey had two main objectives:

i) To produce as complete as possible a species list for the three sections of the steam, within the time available.

ii)To gain an impression of the present water quality in the stream.

The survey results were used to assess the conservation value of the macroinvertebrate communities of the streams

2. METHODS

Survey work was undertaken on 18th March 1991.

Three 50m reaches of each stream were selected for survey

Width and depth of the streams were measured with a tape. Widths were recorded as an average of 10 readings, one reading taken every 5m. Average water depth was recorded from 10 transects, with depth measurements taken at 1/4, 1/2 and 3/4 of the stream width.

Composition of the bottom substrate was assessed by eye and classified using the Wentworth scale as adapted by the Institute of Freshwater Ecology for use with the RIVPACS rediction and classification programme.

Aquatic macroinvertebrates were collected from this reaches by sweep netting in vegetation or by kick sampling of stony substrata using a standard pondnet (Freshwater Biological Association pattern, 1mm square mesh).

For the production of the BMWP score, the total sampling time of three minutes was divided such that the amount of time spent sampling in any microhabitat was proportional to the area which that microhabitat occupied. In addition to the three minute timed sample, some time was spent on site looking for species likely to be undersampled with the area dependent timed sampling method.

The minute timed sample was sorted in the laboratory. The sample was sorted thoroughly species list. All species which could not readily be identified were removed and preserved in 70% industrial methylated spirits (IMS) before identification. The non time dependent sampling was sorted in the field, species three

The aquatic macroinvertebrate groups recorded are listed in Table 1 (over page). A list of the keys and guides used in identification of macroinvertebrates is given in Section 4 (see page XX).

Assessment of the conservation value of the macroinvertebrate 2.3 communities in the streams

aquatic macroinvertebrate conservation value of the The communities was assessed using the criteria described in Table 2 (see page XX).

Note In this report the assessment of the conservation value of the macroinvertebrate communities has been made using data from a single season. Collecting in two or three different seasons of the year (ie spring, summer and autumn) usually results in the recording of 30-50% more species than are found in a single season. It is possible that, amongst these new species, further uncommon species could be recorded.

TABLE 1 GROUPS OF MACROINVERTEBRATES RECORDED IN THE BUCKINGHAM STREAM, NORFOLK

GROUPS IDENTIFIED TO SPECIES LEVEL

Tricladida	(Flatworms)
Hirudinea	(Leeches)
Gastropoda	(Snails and limpets)
Bivalvia (excluding <u>Pisidium</u> spp.)	(Bivalves)
Malacostraca	(Shrimps and slaters)
Ephemeroptera	(Mayflies)
Odonata	(Dragonflies and damselflies)
Heteroptera	(Water bugs)
Plecoptera	(Stoneflies)
Megaloptera	(Alderflies)
Trichoptera	(Caddis-flies)
*Coleoptera	(Water beetles)

*Adults from the following families of Coleoptera were recorded: Gyrinidae, Haliplidae, Dytiscidae, Elmidae, Hydraenidae, Hydrophilidae, Noteridae.

TABLE 2. SYSTEM USED FOR ASSESSING THE NATURE CONSERVATION VALUE OF AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATE COMMUNITIES

CONSERVATION VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF COMMUNITY
VERY HIGH	Supporting a rich community of macroinvertebrate species, including local species and/or rare (ie Red Data Book) species. Note that some sites with rare species may be relatively species-poor.
	Sites in this category are likely either to be Sites of Special Scientific Interest in their own right, or within larger SSSI's.
HIGH	Supporting a rich community of common macroinvertebrate species. A small number of local species present. No rare species.
	Could include sites on SSSI's or sites of local nature conservation value.
MODERATE/LOW	Supporting only common macroinvertebrate species. No rare or uncommon species.
Within the two higher	categories individal sites can be ranked on the

Within the two higher categories individal sites can be ranked on the basis of numbers of rare and uncommon species, provided that a constant amount of effort in sampling has been made.

3. THE AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATE COMMUNITIES OF THE TWO STREAMS

A list of the species found in the two streams is given in the Appendix.

3.1 <u>Section 1: detailed description</u>

3.1.1 General description

Section 1 has an average width of 2.80m (bank to bank) and 2.78m (waters edge to waters edge) and an averge depth of 0.15m with a range of 0.08 to 0.23m. The substratum was composed of silt/clay 14%, sand 35%, gravel/pebbles 60% and cobbles/boulders 1%. The flow was 33cm/s.

The left and right hand banks were edged with macrophytes (mainly <u>Callitriche</u> and <u>Epilobium</u> sp.). The shading was moderate. Stony runs, riffles, small pools and the marginal macrophytes formed the main microhabitats available for macroinvertebrates.

3.1.2 Macroinvertebrates

49 species of macroinvertebrates were recorded. The macroinvertebrate fauna was dominated numerically by the freshwater shrimp, <u>Gammarus pulex</u>, the mayfly, <u>Baetis rhodani</u> and the riffle beetle Elmis aenea.

The BMWP score for this section of the stream is high (183), indicating an above average number of families in the community. The ASPT for the section (5.23) is indicative of good water quality.

The groups Gastropoda, Trichoptera and Coleoptera are the best represented in the macroinvertebrate community (ca. two thirds of the total number of species). The Ephemeroptera are noticably poorly represented (2 species).

3.2 <u>Section 2: detailed description</u>

3.2.1 General description

Section 2 has an average width of 3.40m (bank to bank) and 3.32m (waters edge to waters edge) and an averge depth of 0.22m with a range of 0.10 to 0.30m. The substratum was composed of silt/clay 4%, sand 20%, gravel/pebbles 75% and cobbles/boulders 1%. The flow

was 25cm/s.

The left and right hand banks had trailing tree roots in several places. The shading was heavy. The surrounding land use was secondary deciduous woodland. Stony runs, riffles, small pools, tree roots and large amounts of leaf litter formed the main microhabitats available for macroinvertebrates.

3.2.2 Macroinvertebrates

51 species of macroinvertebrates were recorded. The macronvertebrate fauna was dominated by the freshwater shrimp pulex, rhodani, the mayfly, Baetis the Gammarus leptocerid caddis Athripsodes cinereus and the riffle beetle Elmis aenea.

The BMWP score for this section is high (183), indicating a greater than average number of macroinvertebrate families. The ASPT for the section (5.22) indicates that the water quality is high.

The macroinvertebrate community of this section of the stream is very similar to that of section 1, with the Gastropoda, Trichoptera and Coleoptera being the best represented groups. The number of Ephemeroptera recorded is also low, though a single specimen of the baetid <u>Centroptilum luteolum</u> was recorded.

3.3 <u>Section 3: detailed description</u>

3.3.1 General description

Section 3 has an average width of 3.53m (bank to bank) and 3.33m (waters edge to waters edge) and an averge depth of 0.14m with a range of 0.06 to 0.22m. The substratum was composed of silt/clay 4%, sand 20%, gravel/pebbles 75% and cobbles/boulders 1%. The flow was 29cm/s.

The left and right hand banks had trailing tree roots in several places. The shading was heavy on the left hand bank and moderate on the right hand bank. The surrounding land use was secondary deciduous woodland with some improved grassland on the right hand bank. Stony runs, riffles, tree roots and small amounts of leaf litter formed the main microhabitats available for macroinvertebrates.

3.3.2 Macroinvertebrates

38 species of macroinvertebrate were recorded including the widespread but local species (Wallcae I.D et al 1990) Adicella reducta. Two species, the freshwater shrimp <u>Gammarus pulex</u> and the mayfly <u>Baetis rhodani</u> numerically dominated the macronvertebrate fauna.

The BMWP score for this section was the lowest for all of the sections, though still relatively high for a stream of this size. The ASPT for the section (5.32) was similar to that of the other sections of steam, indicating a similarly high water quality. The lower numbers of species and families in this section of the stream probably reflects the lesser amount of habitat (leaf litter, stands of plants) than was present in the other two sections.

Once again the groups best represented in the macroinvertebrate community were the Gastropoda, Trichoptera and Cloeoptera.

4. <u>REFERENCES</u>

Brindle,A. and Smith, K.G.V. (1978). The immature stages of flies. In A Dipterist's Handbook, ed. Stubbs,A. and Chandler,P. The Amateur Entomologist, 15.

Edington, J.M. and Hildrew, A.G. (1981). Caseless caddis larvae of the British Isles. Freshwater Biological Association Scientific Publication No. 43.

Elliott,J.M. (1977). A key to the larvae and adults of British freshwater Megaloptera and Neuroptera. Freshwater Biol. Assoc. Pulication No. 35.

Elliott,J.M., Humpesch,U.H. and Macan,T.T. (1988). Larvae of the British Ephemeroptera: a key with ecological notes. FW. Biol. Assoc. Pub. No.49.

Elliott,J.M. and Mann,K.H. (1979). A Key to the British Freshwater Leeches. Freshwater Biol. Assoc. Publication No. 40.

Elliott,J.M., O'Connor,J.P. and O'Connor,M.A. (1979). A key to the larvae of Sialidae (Insecta: Megaloptera). Freshwater Biology, <u>9</u>, 511-514.

Ellis, A.E. (1978). British Freshwater Bivalve Mollusca. Synopses of the British Fauna, No. 11. The Linnean Society of London. Academic Press, London.

Friday,L.E. (1988). A Key to the Adults of the British Water Beetles. (AIDGAP Key). Field Studies Council Publication 189.

Gledhill,T., Sutcliffe,D.W. and Williams,W.D. (1976). A revised key to the British Species of Crustacea: Malacostraca occurring in Fresh Water. Freshwater Biol. Assoc. Publication No. 32.

Hynes, H.B.N. (1977). Adults and nymphs of British Stoneflies, a key. Freshwater Biological AssociationScientific Publication No. 17.

Macan, T.T.(1977) A key to the British Fresh- and Brackish Water Species of Gastropods (4th ed.). Freshwater Biol. Assoc. Sci. Publication No.13.

Reynoldson, T.B. (1978). A Key to the British Species of Freshwater Triclads (2nd ed.). Freshwater Biol. Assoc. Scient. Publication No. 23.

Savage, A.A (1990) ?????????

Wallace, I.D, Wallace, B. and Philipson G.N. (1990). A key to the case-bearing caddis larvae of Britain and Ireland. Freshwater Biol. Assoc. Scient. Publication No.51.

APPENDIX X MACROINVERTEBRATES RECORDED IN THE THREE SECTIONS OF THE BUCKINGHAM STREAM, NORFOLK

٠

- 1

	SECTION 1	SECTION 2	SECTION 3	SECTIONS
TRICLADIDA				
Planariidae				
Polycelis nigra	+	+	-	×
Dendroccelidae				
Dendrocoelum lacteum	-	-	+	×
HIRUDINEA				
Glossiphoniidae				
Glossiphonia complanata Helobdella stagnalis Theromyzon tessulatum	+ + -	+ + +	+ + -	X.
Erpobdellidae				
Erpobdella octoculata	+	+	+	¥
GASTROPODA				
Ancylidae				
Ancylus fluviatilis	+	+	+	
Valvatidae				-
Valvata cristata Valvata piscinalis	+ +	+ +	+ -	
Hydrobiidae				
Bithynia tentaculata	+	+	-	
Lymnaeidae				
Lymnaea peregra	+	+	-	

12

· • • •

and an end of the second s

Lymnaea truncatula	+	+	-	
Physidae				
Physa fontinalis	+	-	-	
Planorbidae				
Anisus vortex Armiger crista Bathyomphalus contortus Gyraulus albus Planorbis planorbis	+ - + +	+ + +	+ + + +	
BIVALVIA				
Unionidae				
Anodonta cygnea	- .	+	-	
Sphaeriidae				
Sphaerium corneum	+	+	+	
MALACOSTRACA				
Gammaridae				
Gammarus pulex	+	+	+	-
Asellidae				
Asellus aquaticus	+	+	+	
PLECOPTERA				
Nemouridae				
Nemoura avicularis Nemoura cinerea	- +	+ +	+ +	
EPHEMEROPTERA				
Leptophlebiidae				
Habrophlebia fusca	+	+	+	
Ephemeridae				

Ephemera danica	-	-	-	+
Caenidae				
Caenis luctuosa/macrura	+	+	+	
Baetidae				
Baetis rhodani Centroptilum luteolum	+ -	+ +	+ -	
HEMIPTERA				
Veliidae				
Velia caprai		+	_	
Hydrometridae				
Hydrometra stagnorum	+	+	-	
Corixidae				
Hesperocorixa sahlbergi Sigara dorsalis	- +	+	+ -	
MEGALOPTERA				
Sialidae		•		
Sialis lutaria	+	+	+	
TRICHOPTERA				
Beraeidae				
Beraeodes minutus	+	+	-	
Leptoceridae				
Adicella reducta	-	-	+	
Athripsodes aterrimus Athripsodes cinereus	+ +	+ +	 +	
Goeridae				
Goera pilosa	+	+	+	

Sericostomatidae

•

.

Agapetus fuscipes	+	+	+		-
Polycentropodidae					
Plectrocnemia conspersa Plectrocnemia geniculata	 +	+ -	+ -		
Limnephilidae					
Anabolia nervosa Glyphotaelius pellucidus Halesus radiatus Limnephilus extricatus Limnephilus lunatus	 + +	+ + - +	- + - +		
Hydropsychidae					
Hydropsyche angustipennis Hydropsyche instabilis Hydropsyche siltalai	+ - +	- - +	- - +	+	
COLEOPTERA					
Haliplidae					
Haliplus lineatocollis	+	+	-		
Dytiscidae (and Noteridae)					
Platambus maculatus	+	+	+		
Potamonectes depressus elegans Stictotarsus duodecimpustulatus	+ +	+	+		
Gyrinidae		•			
Gyrinus substriatus	-	+	-		
Hydrophilidae (and Hydraenidae)					
Anacaena bipustulata Anacaena globulus Ochthebius minimus	+ +	-	- - +	+	

· · · · ·

Elmidae

Elmis aenea Limnius volckmari Oulimnius tuberculatus + + + + + +

+ + +



c/o School of Biological and Molecular Sciences

Oxford Polytechnic, Gipsy Lane, Headington, Oxford OX3 0BP Tel. Oxford (0865) 819249



A SURVEY OF THE AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATES OF THE BUCKINGHAM STREAM, NORFOLK

A REPORT TO ALCONBURY ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS

POND ACTION

MARCH 1991

c/o Biological and Molecular Sciences Oxford Polytechnic Headington Oxford OX3 OBP

Pond Action is supported by

Oxford Polytechnic The Hamlet Partnership



The World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF UK) Oxford Environmental Services and Ecoscan

WWF

	CONTENTS	PAGE
	SUMMARY	2
1.	INTRODUCTION	3
2.	METHODS	4
3.	THE AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATE COMMMUNITIES OF THE STREAM	8
3.1	Section 1: detailed description	8
	Physical description Macroinvertebrate community	
3.2	Section 2:detailed description	8
	Physical description Macroinvertebrate community	
3.3	Section 3: detailed description	9
	Physical description Macroinvertebrate community	
3.4	Species recorded in other areas of the stream	10
3.5	Assessment of the conservation value of the Buckingham Stream	10
4.	REFERENCES	11
5.	APPENDIX	
1.	List of macroinvertebrates recorded in the sections of the stream	12
TABLE	S .	
TABLE	 Groups of macroinvertebrates recorded during the survey 	6
TABLE	 System used for assessing the nature conservation value of aquatic macro- invertebrate communities 	7

•

SUMMARY

This report describes the results of a survey of three sections of the Buckingham Stream, near Snetterton in Norfolk.

The survey showed that the stream supported a community which was fairly rich in macroinvertebrates (61 species) and included 1 widespread but local species. The three sections of the stream had very similar macroinvertebrate communities. The BMWP scores and ASPT's for the three sections of the stream (183:5.23, 186:5.22 and 149:5.32 for Sections 1, 2 and 3 respectively) suggest that water quality in the stream was good.

The macroinvertebrate community included a relatively large number of common species and one widespread but local species. This suggests that the community of the stream should be regarded as being of moderate/high nature conservation value.

The local species recorded was a leptocerid caddis fly <u>Adicella</u> <u>reducta</u>. Leptocerids are generally regarded as being indicative of good water quality within the BMWP system, but we are not aware of any specific information on the water quality requirements of A.reducta.

1. INTRODUCTION

.

This report describes the results of a survey of the aquatic macroinvertebrates of three sections of the Buckingham Stream, near Snetterton in Norfolk.

Section	1	TM	025927
Section	2	TM	027923
Section	3	TM	023917

The objective of the survey was to make a preliminary assessment (with one season of sampling) of the conservation value of the macroinvertebrate community of the Buckingham Stream.

2. METHODS

Survey work was undertaken on 18th March 1991.

Three 50m sections of the stream were selected for survey.

The width and depth of the stream was measured in each section. Widths were recorded as an average of 10 readings, with one reading taken every 5m. Average water depth was recorded from 10 transects, with depth measurements taken at 1/4, 1/2 and 3/4 of the stream width.

The composition of the bottom substrate was assessed by eye and classified using the Wentworth scale as adapted by the Institute of Freshwater Ecology for use with the River Invertebrate Classification and Prediction System (RIVPACS).

Aquatic macroinvertebrates were collected from each of the three sections by sweep netting in vegetation or by kick sampling of stony substrata using a standard pondnet (Freshwater Biological Association pattern, 1mm square mesh).

Sampling was undertaken following the standard RIVPACS procedure with additional time spent searching for species likely to be missed in the timed sample. A standard RIVPACS 3-minute sample was collected in each section, the three minutes being divided so that the amount of time spent sampling in any microhabitat was proportional to the area which that microhabitat occupied in the section. In addition to the three minute timed sample, 1 hour was spent searching for additional taxa in each of the three 50m sections. 1 hour was also spent searching between Sections 1 and 2 and between Sections 2 and 3.

The three minute timed sample was sorted in the laboratory. The sample was sorted thoroughly in order to give a complete species list. All species which could not readily be identified were removed and preserved in 70% industrial methylated spirits (IMS) before identification.

All material collected during the additional search was sorted in the field, species being identified on site or returned to the laboratory in IMS.

The aquatic macroinvertebrate groups which were included in the survey are listed in Table 1 (over page). A list of the keys and guides used in identification of macroinvertebrates is given in Section 4 (see page 11).

2.3 Assessment of the conservation value of the macroinvertebrate communities in the streams

The conservation value of the aquatic macroinvertebrate communities was assessed using the criteria described in Table 2 (see page 7).

Note In this report the assessment of the conservation value of the macroinvertebrate communities has been made using data from a

single season. Collecting in two or three different seasons of the year (ie spring, summer and autumn) usually results in the recording of 30-50% more species than are found in a single season. It is possible that, amongst these new species, further uncommon species could be recorded.

TABLE 1GROUPSOFMACROINVERTEBRATESRECORDEDINTHEBUCKINGHAMSTREAM, NORFOLK

GROUPS IDENTIFIED TO SPECIES LEVEL

÷.,

Tricladida	(Flatworms)
Hirudinea	(Leeches)
Gastropoda	(Snails and limpets)
Bivalvia (excluding Pisidium spp.)	(Bivalves)
Malacostraca	(Shrimps and slaters)
Ephemeroptera	(Mayflies)
Odonata	(Dragonflies and damselflies)
Heteroptera	(Water bugs)
Plecoptera	(Stoneflies)
Megaloptera	(Alderflies)
Trichoptera	(Caddis-flies)
*Coleoptera	(Water beetles)

*Adults from the following families of Coleoptera were recorded: Gyrinidae, Haliplidae, Dytiscidae, Elmidae, Hydraenidae, Hydrophilidae, Noteridae.

TABLE 2. SYSTEM USED FOR ASSESSING THE NATURE CONSERVATION VALUE OF AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATE COMMUNITIES

• •

CONSERVATION VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF COMMUNITY
VERY HIGH	Supporting a rich community of macroinvertebrate species, including local species and/or rare (ie Red Data Book) species. Note that some sites with rare species may be relatively species-poor.
HIGH	Supporting a rich community of common macroinvertebrate species. A small number of local species present. No rare species.
MODERATE/LOW	Supporting only common macroinvertebrate species. No rare or uncommon species.
_	ategories individal sites can be ranked on the

Within the two higher categories individal sites can be ranked on the basis of numbers of rare and uncommon species, provided that a constant amount of effort in sampling has been made.

.

 \mathbf{i}

3. THE AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATE COMMUNITIES OF THE TWO STREAMS

A list of the species found in the two streams is given in the Appendix.

3.1 Section 1: detailed description

3.1.1 General description

Section 1 had an average width of 2.80m (bank to bank) and 2.78m (water's edge to water's edge) and an average depth of 0.15m with a range of 0.08m to 0.23m. The substratum was composed of silt/clay 14%, sand 35%, gravel/pebbles 60% and cobbles/boulders 1%. The flow was 33cm/s.

Both banks were edged with macrophytes (mainly <u>Callitriche</u> and <u>Epilobium</u> sp.). The shading was moderate. Stony runs, riffles, small pools and the marginal macrophytes formed the main microhabitats available for macroinvertebrates.

3.1.2 Macroinvertebrates

49 species of macroinvertebrates were recorded. The macroinvertebrate fauna was dominated numerically by the freshwater shrimp, <u>Gammarus pulex</u>, the mayfly, <u>Baetis rhodani</u> and the riffle beetle Elmis aenea.

The BMWP score for this section of the stream was high (183), indicating an above average number of families in the community. The ASPT for the section (5.23) was indicative of good water quality.

The groups Gastropoda, Trichoptera and Coleoptera are the best represented in the macroinvertebrate community (ca. two thirds of the total number of species). The Ephemeroptera are noticeably poorly represented (2 species).

3.2 Section 2: detailed description

3.2.1 General description

Section 2 had an average width of 3.40m (bank to bank) and 3.32m (waters edge to waters edge) and an average depth of 0.22m with a range of 0.10 to 0.30m. The substratum was composed of silt/clay 4%, sand 20%, gravel/pebbles 75% and cobbles/boulders 1%. The flow was 25cm/s.

Both banks had trailing tree roots in several places. The shading was heavy. Stony runs, riffles, small pools, tree roots and large amounts of leaf litter formed the main microhabitats available for macroinvertebrates.

3.2.2 Macroinvertebrates

51 species of macroinvertebrates were recorded. The macroinvertebrate fauna was dominated by the freshwater shrimp Gammarus pulex, the mayfly, Baetis rhodani, the leptocerid caddis Athripsodes cinereus and the riffle beetle Elmis aenea.

The BMWP score for this section was high (183), indicating a greater than average number of macroinvertebrate families. The ASPT for the section (5.22) indicates that the water quality was good.

The macroinvertebrate community of this section of the stream was very similar to that of Section 1, with the Gastropoda, Trichoptera and Coleoptera being the best represented groups. The number of species of Ephemeroptera recorded was low, though a single specimen of the baetid Centroptilum luteolum was recorded.

3.3 Section 3: detailed description

3.3.1 General description

Section 3 had an average width of 3.53m (bank to bank) and 3.33m (waters edge to waters edge) and an average depth of 0.14m with a range of 0.06 to 0.22m. The substratum was composed of silt/clay 4%, sand 20%, gravel/pebbles 75% and cobbles/boulders 1%. The flow was 29cm/s.

Both banks had trailing tree roots in several places. The shading was heavy on the left bank and moderate on the right bank. Stony runs, riffles, tree roots and small amounts of leaf litter formed the main microhabitats available for macroinvertebrates.

3.3.2 Macroinvertebrates

38 species of macroinvertebrate were recorded including the widespread but local species <u>Adicella</u> <u>reducta</u> (Wallace et al 1990). Two species, the freshwater shrimp <u>Gammarus pulex</u> and the mayfly Baetis rhodani, dominated the fauna numerically.

The BMWP score for this section was the lowest of the three sections (149), though still relatively high for a stream of this size. The ASPT for the section (5.32) was similar to that of the other sections of steam, indicating a similarly high water quality. The lower numbers of species and families in this section of the stream probably reflected the fact that the stream supported a smaller variety of habitats (eg less leaf litter, fewer stands of plants) than the other two sections.

Once again the groups best represented in the macroinvertebrate community were the Gastropoda, Trichoptera and Coleoptera.

3.4 Species recorded in other areas of the stream

Additional searches between Sections 1 and 2 and Sections 2 and 3 revealed few additional species (see Appendix 1).

3.5 Assessment of the conservation value of the Buckingham Stream

The macroinvertebrate community of the Buckingham Stream included a relatively large number of common species and one widespread but local species. This suggests that the community should be regarded as being of moderate/high nature conservation value (see Table 2).

One local species was recorded, the leptocerid caddis fly <u>Adicella</u> <u>reducta</u>. Leptocerids are generally regarded as being indicative of good water quality within the BMWP system. However, we are not aware of any specific information on the water quality requirements of A.reducta.

4. **REFERENCES**

Brindle, A. and Smith, K.G.V. (1978). The immature stages of flies. In A Dipterist's Handbook, ed. Stubbs, A. and Chandler, P. The Amateur Entomologist, 15.

Edington, J.M. and Hildrew, A.G. (1981). Caseless caddis larvae of the British Isles. Freshwater Biological Association Scientific Publication No. 43.

Elliott, J.M. (1977). A key to the larvae and adults of British freshwater Megaloptera and Neuroptera. Freshwater Biol. Assoc. Pulication No. 35.

Elliott, J.M., Humpesch, U.H. and Macan, T.T. (1988). Larvae of the British Ephemeroptera: a key with ecological notes. FW. Biol. Assoc. Pub. No.49.

Elliott, J.M. and Mann, K.H. (1979). A Key to the British Freshwater Leeches. Freshwater Biol. Assoc. Publication No. 40.

Elliott, J.M., O'Connor, J.P. and O'Connor, M.A. (1979). A key to the larvae of Sialidae (Insecta: Megaloptera). Freshwater Biology, 9, 511-514.

Ellis, A.E. (1978). British Freshwater Bivalve Mollusca. Synopses of the British Fauna, No. 11. The Linnean Society of London. Academic Press, London.

Friday,L.E. (1988). A Key to the Adults of the British Water Beetles. (AIDGAP Key). Field Studies Council Publication 189.

Gledhill,T., Sutcliffe,D.W. and Williams,W.D. (1976). A revised key to the British Species of Crustacea: Malacostraca occurring in Fresh Water. Freshwater Biol. Assoc. Publication No. 32.

Hynes, H.B.N. (1977). Adults and nymphs of British Stoneflies, a key. Freshwater Biological AssociationScientific Publication No. 17.

Macan, T.T.(1977) A key to the British Fresh- and Brackish Water Species of Gastropods (4th ed.). Freshwater Biol. Assoc. Sci. Publication No.13.

Reynoldson, T.B. (1978). A Key to the British Species of Freshwater Triclads (2nd ed.). Freshwater Biol. Assoc. Scient. Publication No. 23.

Savage, A.A (1989). dults of the British aquatic Hemiptera Heteroptera: A key with ecological notes. Freshwater Biol. Assoc. Scient. Publication No. 50.

Wallace, I.D, Wallace, B. and Philipson G.N. (1990). A key to the case-bearing caddis larvae of Britain and Ireland. Freshwater Biol. Assoc. Scient. Publication No.51.

APPENDIX	MACROINVERTEBRATES SNETTERTON, NORFOLK		THE BUCKI	NGHAM STREAM,	NEAR
•		SECTION 1	SECTION 2	SECTION 3	
TRICLADIDA					
Planariidae					
Polycelis nigra		+	+	-	
Dendroc oelic	Dendrocoelidae				
Dendrocoelum lacteum		-	-	+	
HIRUDINEA	HIRUDINEA				
Glossiphonii	idae				
Glossiphonia Helobdella Theromyzon t		+ + -	+ + +	+ + -	
Erpobdellid a	ae				
Erpobdella d	octoculata	+	+	+	
GASTROPODA					
Ancylidae					
Ancylus fluy	viatilis	+	+	+	
Valvatidae					
Valvata cris Valvata pis		+ +	+ +	+ -	
Hydrobiidae					
Bithynia te	ntaculata	+	+	-	

• •

.

APPENDIX	MACROINVERTEBRATES	RECORDED IN	THE BUCKI	NGHAM STREAM,	NEAR
(cont).	SNETTERTON, NORFOLK				
		SECTION	SECTION	SECTION	
L ym na eid ae		1	2	3	
raergae					
Lymnaea pere	gra	+	+	_	
Lymnaea trun		+	+	-	
Physidae					
Physa fonting	alis	+	-	-	
	·				
Planorbidae					
Anisus vorte	v	+	+	+	
Armiger cris		-	-	+	
Bathyomphalu		+	+	+	
Gyraulus alb		+	+	+	
Planorbis pla		-	+	-	
-					
BIVALVIA					
Unionidae					
Anodonto and	202	_	+	_	
Anodonta cyg	nea	_	т	_	
Sphaeriidae					
opinior III due					
Sphaerium co	rneum	+	+	+	
-					
MALACOSTRACA					
Gammaridae					,
Gammarus pul	0Y	+	+	+	
Gammarus pur	CA.	т	т	т	
Asellidae					
Asellus aquaticus		+	+	+	
-					

•

.

APPENDIX	MACROINVERTEBRATES		THE BUCKIN	IGHAM STREAM,	NEAR
(cont).	SNETTERTON, NORFOLK				
		SECTION 1	SECTION 2	SECTION 3	
PLECOPTERA		-	~	5	
Nemouridae					
Nemoura avicularis Nemoura cinerea		- +	+ +	+ +	
EPHEMEROPTER	A				
Leptophlebii	dae				
Habrophlebia	fusca	+	+	+	
Caenidae					
Caenis luctu	osa/macrura	+	+	+	
Baetidae			-		
Baetis rhodani Centroptilum luteolum		+ -	+ +	+ -	
HEMIPTERA	•				
Veli ida e					
Velia caprai		-	+	-	
Hydrometridae					
Hydrometra stagnorum		+	+	-	
Corixidae					
Hesperocorixa sahlbergi Sigara dorsalis		- +	+ -	+ . -	
MEGALOPTERA					
Sialidae					
Sialis lutar	ia	+	. +	+	

•

.

APPENDIX (cont).	MACROINVERTEBRATES SNETTERTON, NORFOLK		THE BUCKIN	IGHAM STREAM,	NEAR
(/-			an ant out	an am t an	
		SECTION 1	SECTION 2	SECTION 3	
TRICHOPTERA					
Beraeidae					
Beraeodes minutus		+	+	-	
Leptoceridae					
Adicella red	ucta	-	-	+	
Athripsodes	aterrimus	+	+	-	
Athripsodes	cinereus	+	+	+	
Goeridae					
Goera pilosa		+	+	+	
Glossosomati					
Agapetus fus	cipes	+	+	+	
Polycentropodidae					
Plectrocnemi		-	+	+	
Plectrocnemi	a geniculata	+	-	-	
Limnephilidae					
Anabolia ner	vosa	-	+	-	
	s pellucidus	-	+	+	
Halesus radi	atus	-	+	+	
Limnephilus		+	-	-	
Limnephilus	lunatus	+	. +	+	
Hydropsychidae					
Hydropsyche	angustipennis	+	-	_	
Hydropsyche		+	+	+	

• •

ŧ

.

APPENDIX (cont).	MACROINVERTEBRATES SNETTERTON, NORFOLK		THE BUCKI	NGHAM STREAM,	NEAR
		SECTION	SECTION	SECTION	
COLEOPTERA		1	2	3	
Haliplidae					
Haliplus lineatocollis		+	+	. –	
Dytiscidae (and Noteridae)					
Platambus ma	culatus	+	+	+	
	depressus elegans	+	+	+	
Stictotarsus duodecimpustulatus		+		-	
Gyrinidae					
Gyrinus substriatus		-	+	-	
Hydrophilid a (and Hydra e					
Anacaena bir	oustulata	+	-	-	
Ochthebius n		+	-	+	
Elmidae					
Elmis aenea		+	+	+	
Limnius volo	ckmari	+	+	+	
Oulimnius tu	iberculatus	+	+	+	

ADDITIONAL SEARCHES BETWEEN SECTIONS 1/2 AND SECTIONS 2/3

Ephemerida Ephemera danica

: •

Hydropsychidae

Hydropsyche instabilis

Hydrophilidae (and Hydraenidae) Anacaena globulus